

**MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL LANDOWNERS' MEETING  
OF THE SOUTH INDIAN RIVER WATER CONTROL DISTRICT  
HELD ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2014**

The annual landowners' meeting of the South Indian River Water Control District was held on September 18, 2014, at 7:30 p.m. at Jupiter High School, 500 N. Military Trail, Jupiter, Florida. Present were Supervisors Michael Danchuk, Tom Rice, Tom Powell, Michael Ryan and Steve Hinkle. Also present were Amy Eason, engineer; Charles Haas, treasurer; William Capko, attorney; Mike Dillon, manager of operations; Donna DeNinno, public information; and Jane Woodard, secretary. Several landowners were also present.

Mr. Danchuk called the annual landowners' meeting to order. The floor was opened to nominations for chairman and secretary. Mr. Powell nominated Mr. Danchuk for chairman. The nomination was seconded by Mr. Ryan and the vote was unanimous. Mr. Ryan nominated Ms. Woodard for secretary. The nomination was seconded by Mr. Powell and the vote was unanimous.

Mr. Ryan made a motion to approve and waive the reading of last year's minutes. Mr. Powell seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Mr. Danchuk opened nominations for the election of a supervisor. Mr. Ryan nominated the three pre-declared candidates (Rick Lee, John Meyer and Thomas Powell). Mr. Rice seconded the nomination and it carried with no objections. There were no other nominations and Mr. Ryan made a motion to close the floor to further nominations. Mr. Rice seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Eason presented the engineer's report, a copy of which is attached to these minutes. She noted the District is in compliance with all regulatory requirements that affect the works of the District and their operation; the works of the District continue to be operated and maintained in a manner that achieves the available level of service. She discussed capital improvements, resource regulations, intergovernmental coordination, and operation and maintenance. She discussed the 17th Plan of Improvements, the NPDES program, water quality regulations, and intergovernmental coordination with other agencies. Concerning operation and maintenance, Ms. Eason discussed the 60 miles of canals, the Canal #2 Hatcher bridge, canal stabilization, rainfall, and the level of service in the District. In response to landowner questions, Ms. Eason briefly explained the monthly water testing for pollutants.

Mr. Dillon presented the manager's report, a copy of which is included in the District engineer's annual report attached hereto. He briefly discussed the problem of trash being dumped on District property. He noted that the District will continue to remove it. Unfortunately, there is no way to determine who is leaving it so they can't be billed for the removal.

Mr. Danchuk then called for voting on compensation for the supervisors. Mr. Bob Berman made a motion to continue compensation at \$50/day for a maximum of eleven days per month. The motion was seconded and it carried without objection.

In response to landowner questions concerning the Margaret Berman Park, Mr. Danchuk explained that although the land for the park was donated and volunteers have performed a lot of work in the park, the shelters and several other amenities within the park are being paid for by the landowners. Since the park is an asset of SIRWCD's, liability insurance is covered under the District's umbrella policy. The District is responsible for maintaining the park. Mr. Danchuk also noted that the park will benefit the community in the long run. He does not expect to see stop signs or street lights added to the area. He noted there were thousands of hours of volunteer time put into developing the park. Mr. Hinkle stated that the park has a preapproved plan from several years ago which is just now being finalized. Mr. Bob Berman stated that the volunteered time and effort from approximately 500 contributors helped to unify the community.

Answering other landowner questions, Ms. Eason explained the bidding process for road paving and Mr. Haas explained the financing aspect. Ms. Eason also briefly discussed the standards used for the road design. She addressed the topic of petitions requesting no paving. Mr. Ryan believed the 35% requirement is not enough to initiate a project, citing the engineering time and expense that is required. He noted that if landowners do not vote, a referendum can pass with very few actually in favor of it. The policy regarding assessments for corner lots was discussed.

Landowners requested more information prior to voting on paving. Mr. Danchuk stated a letter is always included which explains the type of paving and cost estimates. Mr. Dillon stated informational signs go up in the District once a referendum is circulating. Unfortunately, these signs are often removed within hours. Landowners were encouraged to attend monthly meetings and follow the website in order to stay informed.

There were no other landowner questions or further business to be discussed at this time and the meeting was adjourned.

ADJOURNED.